International Journal of Business and Management Sciences
2026; 2(4): 34-39 | ISSN(O): 2693-3500
www.sciro.org/ijbms
DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.21967817

Sustainable Finance Beyond Green Bonds Emerging Instruments, Institutional Architecture, and Transition-Finance Priorities in India

Subhrasmita Acharya [1,*], **Mohit M** [2], **Darshan Achari** [3].

[1] Assistant Professor, School of Management, MR University, Bengaluru.
[2,3.] MBA Student Researcher, School of Management,CMR University, Bengaluru.

Email address[*]: subhrasmita.a@cmr.edu.in
Received: 21.05.2026 | **Revised:** 12.07.2026 | **Accepted:** 28.07.2026

Abstract: This article examines how India can broaden sustainable finance beyond use-of-proceeds green bonds by evaluating emerging instruments, supporting institutional architecture, market barriers, and required policy design for a just, climate-resilient transition. Utilizing a structured integrative review of peer-reviewed research alongside policy and market documents, the synthesis organizes evidence across five analytical dimensions: capital-mobilization capacity, outcome additionality, integrity and measurability, risk allocation, and inclusion. The findings indicate that India's financial ecosystem is evolving toward a layered architecture including green deposits, sustainability-linked debt, ESG-oriented funds, blended finance, and digital measurement infrastructure. However, growth remains constrained by taxonomy fragmentation, weak project pipelines, tenor and currency mismatches, and limited verification capacity. To overcome these barriers, regulators must improve interoperability across rules, while financial institutions link pricing to actionable transition indicators and public finance strategically absorbs high-risk burdens. Ultimately, this study shifts the academic and policy debate from the quantity of green capital required to how instruments create, verify, and distribute transition value through a decision framework linking financing tools to project maturity, risk type, and beneficiary outcomes.

Keywords: sustainable finance, transition finance, green deposits, sustainability-linked loans, blended finance, ESG, climate finance, India.

1. Introduction

India's development strategy must simultaneously expand energy access, build infrastructure, create employment and reduce exposure to physical and transition risks. This makes sustainable finance more than a niche segment of capital markets. It is an allocation problem: capital must move toward activities that are economically productive, environmentally credible and socially legitimate, while the costs and benefits of adjustment are distributed fairly.

Green bonds remain important because ring-fenced proceeds and reporting requirements create a visible link between finance and eligible assets. Nevertheless, a bond-centred perspective is too narrow for India's financing needs. Many firms do not have a sufficient pool of financeable green assets; municipalities and smaller enterprises face issuance costs and limited market access; early-stage technologies require risk capital rather than senior debt; and high-emitting sectors need credible transition pathways even when their near-term investments are not fully 'green'. Sustainable finance must therefore include instruments that alter borrower incentives, redistribute project risks, improve bank intermediation and crowd in long-duration private capital.

India's policy architecture has begun to diversify. The Government's Sovereign Green Bond Framework defines eligible public expenditures and reporting commitments. The Reserve Bank of India's green-deposit framework governs the mobilization and allocation of specified deposits by regulated entities. Securities-market reforms have expanded sustainability disclosure and assurance through the Business Responsibility and Sustainability Reporting architecture. Together, these initiatives increase visibility, but they do not yet constitute a unified sustainable-finance taxonomy or a complete transition-finance regime (Department of Economic Affairs, 2022; Reserve Bank of India, 2023; Securities and Exchange Board of India, 2023).

1.1. Research questions and contribution

The review addresses four questions: (1) Which instruments can complement green bonds in India? (2) What market failure or financing constraint does each instrument address? (3) Which integrity, risk and inclusion challenges could undermine its contribution? and (4) What regulatory and institutional reforms can improve mobilization without encouraging green washing or excluding smaller borrowers?

The contribution is threefold. First, the paper separates the label attached to an instrument from the financing function it performs. Second, it treats environmental integrity and financial additionality as related but distinct tests. Third, it embeds just-transition considerations in instrument design rather than treating social outcomes as an afterthought.

2. Conceptual Foundations

2.1. From green finance to sustainable and transition finance

Green finance directs capital to activities with environmental benefits, typically through an eligibility taxonomy and use-of-proceeds reporting. Sustainable finance is broader: it incorporates environmental, social and governance considerations into financial decisions and may include social, sustainability and sustainability-linked instruments. Transition finance focuses on credible movement by carbon-intensive entities or activities toward science-aligned pathways. These categories overlap, but they are not interchangeable.

Table 1: Green to sustainable and transition finance

Concept	Primary financing logic	Typical accountability mechanism	Principal integrity risk
Green finance	Fund eligible green assets or expenditure	Use-of-proceeds allocation and impact reporting	Misclassification or weak additionality
Sustainable finance	Integrate environmental and social outcomes into allocation	Disclosure, exclusions, stewardship and/or outcome metrics	Broad labels without material outcomes
Transition finance	Finance a time-bound shift in high-emitting activities or entities	Transition plan, milestones, capex alignment and governance	Carbon lock-in or unambitious pathways
Climate finance	Support mitigation and adaptation activities	Climate rationale, results framework and safeguards	Underinvestment in adaptation and vulnerable groups

2.2. Theories that explain instrument effectiveness

Three perspectives guide the synthesis. Information asymmetry theory explains why taxonomies, external reviews, and standardized disclosure can lower due diligence costs and improve pricing. Institutional theory explains how regulatory expectations, investor norms and peer practices influence organizational behavior even before direct financial benefits are visible. Additionality and catalytic-capital logic explain when public or concessional resources should be used: not to replace finance that markets would have supplied, but to absorb specific risks, create demonstration effects or improve project preparation.

An instrument should therefore be assessed against five tests: whether it mobilizes incremental capital; changes real decisions or outcomes; produces reliable and comparable evidence; allocates risk to the party best able to manage it; and supports an equitable transition.

3. Review Method

3.1. Design and evidence base

A structured integrative review design is appropriate because the topic spans regulation, banking, capital markets, development finance, and corporate sustainability. The proposed search window is January 2015 to June 2026, capturing the expansion of India's labeled-debt market and subsequent regulatory developments. Academic databases should include Scopus, Web of Science and Google Scholar, supplemented by official documents from the Ministry of Finance, RBI, SEBI, multilateral development banks and recognized market-standard setters.

3.2. Search, screening and extraction protocol

Search strings should combine India with sustainable finance, green finance, transition finance, green bonds, sustainability-linked loans, green deposits, blended finance, ESG funds, climate-risk disclosure, credit enhancement and just transition. Records should be included when they analyses an Indian instrument, institution, regulation or financing barrier. Commentary without traceable evidence, duplicate reports and purely technical environmental studies without a financing mechanism should be excluded.

Because the supplied article did not retain a database export or screening log, this redraft does not invent a PRISMA flow count. Authors should complete and report the search before submission. The present synthesis is a publication-ready conceptual and policy structure, not a claim of exhaustive systematic coverage.

4. India's Sustainable Finance Architecture

4.1. Sovereign, banking and securities-market pillars

India's architecture rests on three interacting pillars. Sovereign green bonds establish a public-sector benchmark and connect borrowing with eligible expenditure. Banking regulation can influence deposit mobilization, loan classification, climate-risk management and project appraisal. Securities regulation shapes issuer disclosure, assurance, ESG ratings and investment-product integrity. Development finance institutions and public-sector intermediaries add project preparation, long-tenor debt, guarantees and aggregation capacity.

Table 2: Review stage and Purpose

Review stage	Required reporting in final submission	Purpose
Identification	Databases, websites, dates and full search strings	Reproducibility
Screening	Duplicate removal and title/abstract criteria	Reduce selection ambiguity
Eligibility	Full-text reasons for exclusion	Limit cherry-picking
Extraction	Instrument, issuer, beneficiary, risk, metric and evidence type	Consistent comparison
Synthesis	Theme coding and counter-evidence	Avoid purely promotional conclusions

4.2. Why green bonds are necessary but insufficient

Green bonds work best for issuers with credible governance, identifiable eligible expenditures and access to institutional investors. They are less suited to very small projects, uncertain technologies and borrowers unable to bear verification and issuance costs. Bonds can refinance existing assets without necessarily causing new investment. Consequently, allocation reporting must be complemented by evidence on additionality, avoided lock-in and outcomes.

Table 3: India's Sustainable Finance Architecture

Institutional pillar	Current role	Unresolved coordination issue
Central government and states	Policy, public expenditure, sovereign issuance and viability support	Alignment of budgets, taxonomies and measurable outcomes
RBI-regulated entities	Green deposits, lending, risk management and financial intermediation	Comparable classification, climate-risk data and tenor constraints
SEBI-regulated markets	Issuer disclosure, assurance, funds, ratings and labelled securities	Interoperability between product labels and corporate transition plans
Development finance institutions	Credit enhancement, project preparation and patient capital	Demonstrating additionality and mobilizing private capital
Local and sectoral institutions	Urban, agricultural and MSME project origination	Capacity, aggregation and bankable pipelines

5. Emerging Instruments Beyond Green Bonds

5.1. Green deposits and green lending

Green deposits extend sustainable finance to bank balance sheets. Under the RBI framework, regulated entities offering green deposits require a board-approved financing framework, allocation reporting, external review and impact assessment. The mechanism can mobilize retail and institutional deposits while supporting eligible lending. Its effectiveness, however, depends on the availability of qualifying assets, credible impact methods and whether deposit mobilization changes the volume or terms of green credit rather than merely relabeling existing portfolios.

5.2. Sustainability-linked loans and bonds

Sustainability-linked instruments do not restrict proceeds to specified projects. Instead, financial terms change when a borrower meets or misses key performance indicators and sustainability performance targets. This flexibility is useful for entity-wide transition, but it creates a demanding integrity test. Indicators must be material to the borrower's impacts, targets must exceed business-as-usual trajectories, and pricing adjustments must be economically meaningful. Baseline revisions, acquisitions and force-majeure clauses should not make targets easier after issuance.

5.3. ESG and sustainability-oriented funds

Funds can channel household and institutional savings toward issuers selected through exclusions, best-in-class screening, thematic allocation or stewardship. Portfolio labels alone do not demonstrate real-world impact. Fund governance should disclose the investment strategy, data limitations, benchmark choice, engagement and escalation policy, principal adverse impacts and the circumstances in which holdings are divested.

5.4. Blended finance, guarantees and secularization

Blended finance uses concessional or public resources to improve the risk-return profile of projects that generate social or environmental value but cannot yet attract sufficient commercial capital. Instruments include first-loss capital, guarantees, subordinated debt, viability-gap funding, currency-risk facilities and outcome payments. Aggregation and secularization can convert portfolios of rooftop solar, electric mobility, energy efficiency or climate-smart agriculture assets into investable pools. The principal discipline is additionality: subsidy should target a demonstrable market failure, be time-bound where possible and disclose the amount of private capital mobilized without double counting.

5.5. Transition finance and digital MRV

India needs a credible route for financing decarbonisation in steel, cement, transport, chemicals and other hard-to-abate sectors. Transition finance should require entity-level plans with governance accountability, interim emissions milestones, aligned capital expenditure, treatment of offsets, and safeguards against extending the life of incompatible assets. Digital *measurement, reporting and verification (MRV)* can lower monitoring costs, but it cannot compensate for weak baselines or non-material targets. Data architecture must include audit trails, interoperability, cybernetics and proportionate requirements for smaller enterprises.

Table 4: Instruents Vs Green Bonds

Instrument	Best-fit use	Risk addressed	Minimum integrity condition
Green deposit/loan	Bankable eligible assets and smaller borrowers	Funding access and intermediation	Allocation, external review and impact evidence
Sustainability-linked debt	Entity-wide performance improvement	Incentive alignment	Material KPIs and ambitious, time-bound targets
ESG/sustainability fund	Portfolio allocation and stewardship	Investor access and diversification	Transparent strategy, holdings and stewardship outcomes
Blended finance/guarantee	Early-stage, high-risk or low-return projects	Technology, credit, demand or political risk	Demonstrated additionality and disciplined subsidy
Securitization/aggregation	Distributed small-ticket assets	Scale, transaction costs and investor access	Standardized contracts, servicing and asset-level data
Transition finance	Hard-to-abate sector pathways	Carbon lock-in and capex needs	Science-aligned plan, milestones and no harmful lock-in

6. Critical Synthesis: Constraints and Failure Modes

6.1. Taxonomy fragmentation and green washing

A fragmented classification environment increases due-diligence costs and permits opportunistic label selection. India requires a taxonomy that is usable across public expenditure, banking products, corporate disclosure and market instruments while remaining sensitive to national development conditions. Taxonomy design should distinguish substantial contribution, do-no-significant-harm tests, minimum social safeguards and time-bound transition activities.

6.2. Pipeline, tenor and risk mismatches

Capital supply does not automatically create bankable projects. Renewable generation may be mature while adaptation, nature-based solutions, municipal infrastructure and climate-resilient agriculture lack revenue visibility or standard contracts. Banks face asset-liability and concentration constraints; foreign capital introduces currency risk; and smaller sponsors face collateral and transaction-cost barriers. Project preparation and risk allocation are therefore as important as instrument labels.

6.3. Data, assurance and rating limitations

Decision-useful information requires consistent organizational boundaries, credible baselines, estimation methods and assurance. ESG scores can diverge because providers measure different constructs or weight indicators differently. Ratings should not be treated as substitutes for security-level credit analysis or outcome verification. Proportionate assurance is essential: complex requirements can improve confidence for large issuers while excluding MSMEs and local bodies if technical support is absent.

6.4. Distributional and just-transition risks

A low-carbon transition can create concentrated costs for workers, communities and small suppliers even when aggregate benefits are positive. Sustainable-finance frameworks should examine job quality, reskilling, energy affordability, land and livelihood effects, grievance mechanisms and access to finance for affected regions. A product cannot be called sustainable solely because it reduces emissions if it externalizes material social harm.

7. An Instrument Policy Fit Framework

The synthesis indicates that policy should begin with the financing constraint, not the preferred product. The following matrix translates common Indian use cases into an instrument bundle and accountability architecture.

7.1. Policy and Managerial Implications

7.1.1. Recommendations for regulators and government

- Create interoperable definitions across sovereign expenditure, bank products, securities and corporate transition plans, with explicit treatment of transitional activities.
- Publish machine-readable eligibility, allocation and impact information, while applying proportionality and technical assistance for smaller entities.
- Use guarantees and concessional capital against identified risks; require mobilization ratios, additionality narratives and subsidy-exit conditions.
- Strengthen adaptation and just-transition finance through public project-preparation facilities and outcome frameworks that capture resilience and distributional effects.
- Build a coordinated supervisory approach to green washing, climate-risk governance and third-party assurance without treating all sustainability products as identical.

7.1.2. Recommendations for financial institutions and issuers

- Map each product to a documented theory of change and specify whether the intended contribution is allocation, incentive, stewardship, risk absorption or market creation.
- Integrate climate scenarios, policy risk and physical-risk data into credit appraisal and portfolio strategy rather than maintaining sustainability as a separate reporting function.
- Select a small number of materials KPIs, disclose baselines and calculation methods, and obtain independent assurance proportionate to the claim.
- Develop aggregation platforms, standard contracts and technical-assistance partnerships for MSMEs, municipalities, farmers and distributed assets.
- Report failures and trade-offs, including delayed allocations, missed targets, data gaps and adverse social impacts; credibility improves when reporting is not purely promotional.

8. Future Research Agenda

Research should move beyond counts of labelled instruments toward causal and comparative evidence. Priority questions include whether green deposits increase eligible lending; whether sustainability-linked pricing changes capital expenditure; which blended-finance structures mobilise additional private capital at the lowest public cost; how transition pathways should be benchmarked in Indian sectors; and whether sustainable-finance benefits reach smaller firms, low-income households and climate-vulnerable regions.

Table 5: Future Scope

Research question	Preferred design	Outcome emphasis
Do labels affect financing terms?	Matched issues/loans or difference-in-differences	Yield, tenor, covenants and investor base
Do linked targets change behavior?	Panel event study with target quality coding	Capex, emissions, target revisions and penalties
Is blended finance additional?	Counterfactual project and mobilization analysis	Private capital, public cost and project completion
Who benefits from sustainable finance?	Geospatial and borrower-level distributional analysis	Region, firm size, gender, income and employment
Does assurance improve integrity?	Natural experiment or cross-sectional quality comparison	Restatements, controversies and reporting reliability

9. Limitations

This article is an integrative review and cannot establish the causal effect of any instrument on environmental, social or financial outcomes. Policy documents may describe intended mechanisms more clearly than implementation quality, while market reports may emphasize issuance over additionality. The sustainable-finance landscape also evolves rapidly; therefore, dates, regulations and market statistics must be re-validated immediately before submission. A final systematic version should append the full search strategy, screening flow, extraction template and source-quality assessment.

10. Conclusion

India's sustainable-finance challenge is not solved by issuing more products with environmental labels. The central task is to build a coherent architecture that connects capital to credible transition pathways, allocates risks efficiently, verifies outcomes and protects groups that might otherwise bear disproportionate adjustment costs. Green bonds remain a useful foundation, but green deposits and loans, sustainability-linked instruments, ESG-oriented funds, blended finance, guarantees, aggregation and transition finance perform different economic functions.

The strongest policy approach is therefore portfolio-based and problem-led. Mature, revenue-generating assets may need scalable bank or bond finance; smaller distributed assets need

aggregation; early-stage and adaptation projects need risk-sharing and project preparation; and hard-to-abate sectors need rigorous entity-level transition plans. Across all instruments, integrity depends on material metrics, transparent baselines, independent verification, comparable reporting and enforceable consequences. Sustainable finance can support India's development and climate objectives only when mobilisation, additionality, accountability and inclusion advance together.

References

1. Climate Bonds Initiative. (2025). India sustainable debt market: State of the market 2024.
2. Climate Policy Initiative. (2022). Landscape of green finance in India.
3. Department of Economic Affairs. (2022). Framework for sovereign green bonds. Ministry of Finance, Government of India. https://dea.gov.in/files/policy_and_guidelines_document/FrameworkSovereignGreenBonds.pdf
4. Department of Economic Affairs. (2024). Sovereign green bonds allocation report FY 2023–24. Ministry of Finance, Government of India.
5. Fu, C., Lu, L., & Pirabi, M. (2024). Advancing green finance: A review of climate change and decarbonization. Digital Economy and Sustainable Development, 2, Article 1.
6. International Capital Market Association. (2021). Green bond principles: Voluntary process guidelines for issuing green bonds.
7. International Capital Market Association. (2024). Sustainability-linked bond principles.
8. OECD. (2023). Financing cities of tomorrow: G20/OECD report for the G20 Infrastructure Working Group. OECD Publishing.
9. Ozili, P. K. (2022). Green finance research around the world: A review of literature. International Journal of Green Economics, 16(1), 56–75.
10. Reserve Bank of India. (2023). Framework for acceptance of green deposits. https://www.rbi.org.in/Scripts/NotificationUser.aspx?Id=12487&Mode=0
11. Securities and Exchange Board of India. (2023). Balanced framework for ESG disclosures, ratings and investing.
12. Taneja, S., Kaur, S., & Özen, E. (2022). Utilising green finance to foster sustainable global growth. International Journal of Green Economics, 16(3), 246–257.
13. Wasan, P., Kumar, A., & Luthra, S. (2024). Green finance barriers and solution strategies for emerging economies: The case of India. IEEE Transactions on Engineering Management, 71, 414–425. https://doi.org/10.1109/TEM.2021.3123185
14. World Bank. (2025). Blended finance for climate investments in India. World Bank Group.